#### PROGRESS ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST FOR NINDS CLINICAL STUDIES This checklist outlines a review for on on-going studies, focusing on study data collection, study documentation, and patient safety. Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

Definitions of underlined terms are available in the NINDS Glossary.

	Definitions of undefined terms are available in the MINDS C	YES	NO	NA
Α.	Overview - Study Administration and Procedures			
1.	Is there a Master Study File that contains study documents necessary for the conduct of the clinical study and, if applicable, includes essential study documents from each study site?			
2.	Are the following documents on file in the Master Study File:			
	a) Institutional Review Board (IRB)/Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) approvals			
	<ul> <li>b) Approved version of <u>informed consent</u>, <u>protocols</u>, and <u>protocol amendments</u> for each study site</li> </ul>			
	c) Foreign clearance for international clinical sites.			
	d) Study Site <u>annual reviews</u> and site status reports from all clinical sites			
	e) IRB/IEC compositions or <u>Federal Wide Assurance #s</u> <u>(FWA)</u>			
	f) New Drug Application (NDA) / Investigational New Drug Application (IND) / Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) information if applicable.			
	<ul> <li>g) CVs, licenses, and certifications for PI, co- investigator(s), <u>Clinical Research Coordinators</u> (<u>CRCs</u>), and Pharmacists.</li> </ul>			
	h) Screening logs			
	i) Monitoring Reports			
	j) <u>Financial disclosure/conflict of interest</u> documentation			
3.	Site Signature Log/Delegation of Authority signed by all study staff and signed off by Principal Investigator (PI)?			
4.	Have the <u>Safety Monitoring Body (SMB)</u> and NINDS provided approval for study initiation?			
5.	Is there a letter of agreement from drug or device company donating study supplies, i.e. active drug, placebo, device (if applicable)?			
6.	Is there documentation of SMB or Medical Safety Monitor (MSM) submissions to the local IRB/IEC?			
7.	Are there copies of study communications with sites and other centers (i.e. <u>Statistical Analysis Center (SAC)</u> and <u>Data</u> <u>Coordinating Center (DCC)</u> ?			
8.	Is there documentation of <u>adverse events (AEs)/serious</u> <u>adverse events (SAEs)</u> with copies of reports and follow-up correspondences?			

#### PROGRESS ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST FOR NINDS CLINICAL STUDIES This checklist outlines a review for on on-going studies, focusing on study data collection, study documentation, and patient safety. Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

	Definitions of undeffined terms are available in the MiNDS C	i -		
		YES	NO	NA
9.	Is there documentation of attendance and training for investigators and staff at <a href="Investigator Meetings or Site">Investigator Meetings or Site</a> <a href="Investigator Meetings or Site">Initiation Visits</a> ?			
10.	Has the Manual of Procedures (MOP) been distributed to all clinical sites and updated as needed?			
B.	Safety Plan			
11.	Is a <u>Safety Monitoring Plan</u> in place that outlines independent oversight in the form of a SMB or MSM?			
12.	Does the Safety Monitoring Plan provide a clear communication structure for SMB or MSM involvement in study events?			
13.	Are there definitions for serious adverse events and adverse events?			
14.	Are there procedures in place for documenting and reporting AEs, SAEs, and <u>unexpected AEs</u> , according to NIH Guidelines (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/not99-107.html)?			
15.	Are human subjects protection provisions in place in accordance with NIH and <a href="http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/45cfr46.htm">http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/45cfr46.htm</a> )?			
16.	Are there clearly defined stopping rules in place?			
D.	Study Communications		•	•
17.	Have detailed communication processes been developed to describe communication flow, handling of inquiries, and emergency situations?			
18.	Have the necessary investigator meetings and teleconferences between committees been scheduled?			
C.	Training			
19.	Has all study staff had initial training and certification in clinical research or good clinical practices (GCP), i.e. human subject's protection, HIPAA, etc.?			
20.	Has all study staff had initial training on the study protocol and procedures either at an investigator meeting or site initiation visit?			
21.	Has human subjects' protection training been documented for all study staff?			
22.	Are procedures in place to modify training, if necessary, so clinical center personnel accurately collect data according to the updated procedures specified in the revised protocol?			

study documentation, and patient safety.

Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

Definitions of underlined terms are available in the NINDS Glossary.

		YES	NO	NA
23.	Is there data collection and data entry training provided on the necessary study data management system?			
D.	Recruitment			
24.	Is there a recruitment plan that maps out all the steps, methods, and research team member responsibilities for the recruitment efforts?			
E.	Screening and Informed Consent			
25.	Is there a process in place during the <u>pre-screening and</u> <u>screening process</u> so that data on eligible and ineligible individuals are captured in an appropriate format?			
26.	Is there a written procedure to ensure that the current copy of the IRB/IEC approved informed consent form is signed before any tests or procedures are administered?			
27.	Is the informed consent clearly written and easy to understand in various languages as necessary?			
28.	Are procedures in place to ensure that the informed consent process is described by study staff to the patient?			
F.	Enrollment and Randomization			
29.	Are there written procedures for the enrollment process, including documentation of enrollment in an enrollment log?			
30.	Do procedures document inclusion and exclusion criteria so that appropriate participants can be enrolled?			
31.	Does the Randomization Plan include procedures for the following:			
	a) Ensure participants randomized correctly			
	b) Maintain confidentiality of the randomization code			
	c) Verify correct randomization code number is assigned			
	<ul> <li>d) Assigned code stays with participant through entire study</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>e) Are masking/blinding and unmasking/unblinding procedures in place to limit unmasking/unblinding?</li> </ul>			
G.	Study Retention/Patient Follow-Up			
32.	Are there written procedures that outline methods for participant retention in the study?			
33.	Do the procedures include follow-up of participants who have missed visits or are lost to follow-up?			
Н.	Concomitant Medications			
34.	Have forms been created to collect all necessary information?			

study documentation, and patient safety.

Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

Definitions of underlined terms are available in the NINDS Glossary.

		YES	NO	NA
35.	Has the use of concomitant medications been incorporated into the inclusion/exclusion criteria?			
36.	Is there a process to compare concomitant medications and AEs?			
1.	Data Collection			
37.	Is there a schedule of participant contacts (i.e. study visits)?			
38.	Are there written procedures that guide data collection at each participant contact (i.e. source document and case report form completion)?			
39.	Are forms organized by visit?			
40.	Is there a complete description and definition of how each data item is to be collected on each study form for each participant contact?			
41.	Do the forms and data collected at each participant contact correspond to and reflect the data analysis plan?			
42.	Are there written plans for obtaining, handling, storing, and sending patient samples/materials?			
43.	Are there written procedures for obtaining and transmitting laboratory data as well as the laboratory results?			
44.	Are there AE forms and do they include the necessary data to generate safety reports?			
J.	Data Management		•	1
45.	Is there a <b>Data Management Plan</b> or do written procedures document data handling from collection through analysis?			
46.	Are all study documents, including protocol, manual of procedures (MOP), data collection forms, statistical analysis plan, etc. reflected in the data management procedures?			
47.	Is there a detailed description of how forms are sent or transmitted to the data coordinating center (if applicable)?			
48.	Are there procedures in place that identify and track the status of each participant throughout the study?			
49.	Are there tracking procedures that document and confirm data collected, forms completed, and forms received at the data collection/coordinating center?			
50.	Are there written procedures that describe how data are transferred from paper into a computer system, edited, and moved to an analysis data base, as relevant?			
K.	Quality Standards			

study documentation, and patient safety.

Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

Definitions of underlined terms are available in the NINDS Glossary.

		YES	NO	NA
51.	Have quality standards been established for enrollment and accrual deviations, protocol deviations, drop-outs, and data entry and analysis?			
52.	Are procedures in place for correcting inaccurate data and documenting the changes systematically?			
53.	Are procedures in place for amending the protocol and the MOP and documenting the changes systematically so that changes can be tracked?			
54.	Has a drug/device plan been established which includes procedures for shipping, distribution, maintaining inventory, return and destruction of drug or device?			
L.	Compliance and Monitoring			
55.	Is there a comprehensive monitoring plan which details frequency of site visits, what is reviewed, etc.?			
56.	Are screening, recruitment, enrollment, and retention reports reviewed regularly and action plans documented?			
57.	Are protocol compliance reports reviewed regularly and protocol deviations documented systematically?			
58.	Are reports that describe missing or erroneous data reviewed regularly to detect and correct problems?			
59.	Are <u>site monitoring reports</u> designed to provide feed back regarding problems and issues discovered during site visits and to report on the quality of data reviewed?			
60.	Are procedures in place to ensure the necessary follow-up of outstanding issues as a result of site visits and a means of documenting plans moving forward?			
M.	Facilities			
61.	Are study documents (i.e. study file, subject binders, etc.) stored in a secure cabinet with limited access only to authorized study personnel?			
62.	Are study drugs/devices stored in a secure locked location and only handled by the Pharmacist or authorized study personnel as stated in the Drug/Device Plan?			
63.	Are reference ranges, certification, and temperature logs for applicable equipment (i.e. refrigerators, biological cabinets, etc.) needed for storage or handling of the study drug/device current and being maintained?			
64.	Is the informed consent being administered in a private area that offers protection of the patient's confidentiality?			

study documentation, and patient safety.

Note: NINDS has established these guidelines as a resource for items that KAI may review during a site visit.

Definitions of underlined terms are available in the NINDS Glossary.

		YES	NO	NA
65.	Are clinical areas (i.e. exam rooms, x-ray, blood draws, etc.) specially reserved for the conduct of the study to uphold patient confidentiality?			
N.	Study Completion			
66.	Have all necessary queries and CRFs been completed?			
67.	Has the final study close-out visit been completed?			
68.	Has the study drug/device been either returned or destroyed according to the Drug/Device Plan?			
69.	Is a publication/dissemination policy in place for study data?			